•			, ,			····		<u></u>	ann T.		
SFI-597493vI	12:1-9	11:9-18	10:18-24	10:11-12	9:17-22	9:4-10	6:6-16	5:12-14	Page/Line Cite		
				Defendants' counter-designate 10:13-17	Plaintiffs counter-designate 10:11-12; 10:18-24; 11:9-18; 12:1-9; 12:23-14:9; 14:24-15:24		Plaintiffs counter-designate 7:4-16		Plaintiffs' Objection & Counter (include specific page and line numbers of material objected to and objection(s))	DEFENDANTS' DESIGNATION OF BAMIDELE O. BOLAJI (Counter-Designations in italicized text)  June 13, 2005	
pers Copy					See defendants' responses below.				Defendants' Response	MIDELE O. BOLAJI cized text)	

		20:8-9
		19:17-20:3
		19:1-12
	Plaintiffs counter-designate 18:22-25	17:21-18:16
		16:22-17:10
Plaintiffs' Response: Plaintiffs' counter-designations are relevant to Plaintiff Bowoto's pain and suffering, testified to here by the nurse who attended and accompanied him to the hospital.	Improper Counter-Designation Objection.  14:24-15:15: Hearsay, prejudicial. RRE 801-02, 403.	14:24-15:24
Plaintiffs' Response: Plaintiffs' counter-designations are relevant to Plaintiff Bowoto's pain and suffering, testified to here by the nurse who attended and accompanied him to the hospital.	13:14-20: Hearsay, prejudicial and connulative. FRE. 801-02, 401-403.  14:1-9: Hearsay, prejudicial FRE. 801-02, 403.	12:23-14:9
Defendants' Response	Plaintiffs' Objection & Counter (include specific page and line numbers of material objected to and objection(s))	Page/Line Cite
AMIDELE O. BOLAJI icized text)	DEFENDANTS' DESIGNATION OF BAMIDELE (Counter-Designations in italicized text) June 13, 2005	

	DEFENDANTS' DESIGNATION OF BAMIDELE (Counter-Designations in italicized text) June 13, 2005	AMIDELE O. BOLAJI licized text)
Page/Line Cite	Plaintiffs' Objection & Counter (include specific page and line numbers of material objected to and objection(s))	Defendants' Response
20:12-24	Plaintiffs counter-designate 20:25-21:10 ⊙\—	
21:11-18		
21:21-22:11	Objection to 22:8-11: Non responsive.  Plaintiffs counter-designate 22:21-23:18 and 23:20-23	22:8-11: This is necessary to provide a complete context for the deponent's response.
	SK.	Plaintiffs' counter-designation is incomplete because it omits 23:19. FRE 106.
		Plaintiffs' Response: Rlainthts are unclear about Defendants' objection as 23:19 is a fragment of an answer.
24:2-13		
25:16-21		
32:1-5		
32:15-19		

	DEFENDANTS' DESIGNATION OF BAMIDELE (Counter-Designations in italicized text)  June 13, 2005
Page/Line Cite	Plaintiffs' Objection & Counter (include specific page and line numbers of material objected to and objection(s))
34:12-20	Improper Counter-Designation Objection. Hearsay, prejudicial. FRE 801 02, 403.
35:13-36:1	Lacks foundation, improper opinion, prejudicial. FRE 602, 701, 702, 403.
91-7:98	
46:1-11	
58:1-7	
58:11-59:1	Completeness designation: 59:2-12
61:1-17	·

SFI-597493v1

83:16-84:10	Page/Line Cite (mc			
	Plaintiffs' Objection & Counter (include specific page and line numbers of material objected to and objection(s))	June 13, 2005	(Counter-Designations in italicized text)	DEFENDANTS' DESIGNATION OF BAMIDELE
	Defendants? Response			© O. BOLAJI